# SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, MAY 1, 2006 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

#### S027264

# PEOPLE v. FRIEND (JACK W.)

Extension of time granted to June 27, 2006, to file appellant's reply brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Supervising Deputy based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Denise Anton's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by July 2006.

# S050583

# PEOPLE v. HOWARD (DEMETRIUS)

Extension of time granted to June 27, 2006, to file appellant's reply brief.

#### S054569

#### PEOPLE v. WHALEN (DANIEL L.)

Extension of time granted to July 18, 2006, to file the reply brief. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon counsel A. Richard Elli's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by July 18, 2006.

# S056765

#### PEOPLE v. PARSON (RICHARD R.)

Extension of time granted to June 30, 2006, to file the appellant's reply brief. After that date, only one futher extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by August 31, 2006.

# S056997

# PEOPLE v. WHISENHUNT (MICHAEL M.)

Extension of time granted to June 28, 2006, to file respondent's brief.

# S062562

#### PEOPLE v. TAYLOR (BRANDON)

Extension of time granted to June 27, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Alison Pease's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by July 31, 2006.

#### S065573

#### PEOPLE v. BECERRA (FRANK K)

Extension of time granted to June 26, 2006, to file the appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy State Public Defender Alison Bernstein's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by October 2006.

# S076340

# PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (LAM T.)

Extension of time granted to June 30, 2006, to file appellant's opening brief.

SAN FRANCISCO May 1, 2006 728

#### S107782

#### WELCH (DAVID E.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to May 24, 2006, to file petitioner's reply to respondent's return to order to show cause.

# S129852 B166937 Fifth Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. GILES** 

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Appellant's Reply to the Amicus Curiae Brief of the California Partnership to End Domestic Violence is extended to and including May 2, 2006.

#### S134962

# HART (JOSEPH) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to June 23, 2006, to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 33 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender Amanda R. Touchton's representation that she anticipates filing that document by July 26, 2006.

### S137389

#### WILLIAMS (BOB) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to June 23, 2006, to file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus.

#### S139017

#### LEWIS (JOHN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted to June 28, 2006, to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 270 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Jean R. Sternberg's representation that she anticipates filing that document by March 30, 2007.

S142307 A106355 First Appellate District, Div. 2 MARASO

MARASOVIC v. ALTA BATES MEDICAL CENTER

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the answer to the petition for review is extended to May 9, 2006.

# S026634

# PEOPLE v. WATKINS (PAUL SODOA)

The order appointing Michael G. Millman, as Executive Director of the California Appellate Project, to serve as interim habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel of record for appellant Paul Sodoa Watkins, filed July 18, 2001, is hereby vacated.

Gary B. Wells is hereby appointed to represent appellant Paul Sodoa Watkins for habeas corpus/executive clemency proceedings related to the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

Any "petition for writ of habeas corpsu will be presumed to be filed without substantial delay if it is filed...within 36 months" of this date (Supreme Ct. Policies Regarding Cases Arising from Judgments of Death, policy 3, timeliness std. 1-1.1), and it will be presumed that any successive petition filed within that period is justified or excused (see In re Clark (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 774-782), in light of this court's delay in appointing replacement habeas corpus/executive clemency counsel on behalf of appellant Paul Sodoa Watkins.

SAN FRANCISCO May 1, 2006 729

S130839 C039617 Third Appellate District LONICKI v. SUTTER HEALTH CENTRAL

The application of The Legal Aid Society Employment Law Center to include itself as amicus curiae in the brief filed by the Asian Law Caucus, et.al., on April 12, 2006, is hereby granted.

S142883 PEOPLE v. CAPOGRECO

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S142968 B189928 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. GUZMAN

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Secondt Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

B182675 MODERN DATA PRODUCTS, INC. v. KOCH

The above entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Eight to Division Three.